1			
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
3	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5	In re	Case No. 05-14530-B-11	
6	WESTCOAST ) COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, )	Chapter 11	
7	Debtor.	DC No. HAR-34	
8	)		
9	MEMORANDUM DECISION RE MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL		
10			
11	Hilton A. Ryder, Esq., of McCormick, Barstow appeared on behalf of Westcoast Communication	r, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth, LLP, ons, LLC (the "Debtor").	
12	Jan L. Kahn, Esq., of Kahn, Soares & Conway, LLP, appeared telephonically on behalf of Maverick Broadband ("Maverick").		
13			
14	Debtor moves to value Maverick's collateral. Maverick did not file an opposition.		
15	This Memorandum Decision contains findings of fact and conclusions of law required by		
16	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52. The		
17	bankruptcy court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334, 11 U.S.C.		
18	§ 506, and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3012. This is a core proceeding pursuant		
19	to 28 U.S.C. 157(b)(2)(O). For the reasons set forth below, Debtor's motion to value		
20	Maverick's collateral will be granted.		
21	<u>Facts</u>		
22	Debtor is in the business of wireless bro	adband communication. On October 3,	
23	2003, Maverick sold Debtor assets for Debtor's	s business (the "Assets"). Debtor executed a	
24	promissory note in favor of Maverick in the am	ount of \$175,000. The promissory note is	
25	secured by a perfected security interest in the A	ssets.	
26	On June 6, 2005, Debtor filed for chapte	er 11 bankruptcy relief. In its schedules,	
27	Debtor lists the value of Maverick's collateral a	as \$19,000. Maverick timely field a Proof of	
28	Claim which valued the Assets at \$175,000, the	e total amount of the obligation under the	

1	promissory note. In its Disclosure Statement, Debtor indicated that it believed the value	
2	the Assets to be \$50,000. Debtor's modified chapter 11 plan states that the Debtor's	
3	opinion of the value of Maverick's collateral is \$50,000, but the plan provides that	
4	Maverick's claim "shall be treated as a secured claim in the amount to be determined upon	
5	further order of this court." After confirmation of the plan, Debtor filed a motion to value	
6	the Assets ("Motion to Value"). No written opposition was filed by Maverick.	
7	In support of its Motion to Value, Debtor provided an appraisal by Nathan Sanchez	
8	of HDW in Bakersfield, California. According to Debtor's pleadings, Mr. Sanchez has	
9	roughly ten years of experience in the buying and selling of wireless communications	
10	equipment. The appraisal placed a total value of \$3,800 on the Assets.	
11	Analysis	
12	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3012 provides that the bankruptcy court may	
13	determine the value of a secured claim on the motion of any party in interest. Section 506(a)	
14	of the Bankruptcy Code <sup>1</sup> gives the court authority to determine the value of the collateral in	
15	light of the purpose of the valuation:	
16	(a) An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in	
17	the estate's interest in such property and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest is less than the amount of such allowed claim. Such	
18	value shall be determined in light of the purpose of the valuation and of the proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such	
19	disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor's interest.	
20	Generally, a creditor's proof of claim constitutes prima facie evidence of the validity	
21		
22	and amount of its claim. In re Brown, 82 F.3d 801, 805 (8th Cir.1996); Fed. R. Bankr.P.	
23	3001(f). This presumption of the validity of the proof of claim places the burden of producing	
24	evidence to rebut the presumption on Debtor. <i>Id.</i> However, the ultimate burden of persuasion	
25	is on the creditor to prove by a preponderance of the evidence the value of the collateral which	
26	secures its claim. Id.	
20		
_,		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unless otherwise stated, all references and symbols referring to the Code, section or sections refers to the United States Bankruptcy Code 11 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., applicable to cases filed before October 17, 2005.

1	The Debtor argues that the Assets have little or no value to the estate. Mr. Sanchez's
2	appraisal places a total value of \$3,800 on the Assets. The appraisal states that it is based on
3	the "current market value of each item if it was replaced today." The Supreme Court noted
4	that using the replacement value in connection with valuing collateral is appropriate because
5	of the language in § 506(a) to the effect that the court should value the property in light of the
6	proposed "disposition or use" of the property. Assocs. Commercial Corp. v. Rash, 520 U.S.
7	953, 962 (1997). Therefore, this court will accept the replacement value approach as a proper
8	valuation method in this matter.
9	Maverick did not present any evidence in opposition to the Motion to Value.
10	Therefore, Maverick failed to meet its burden of persuasion as to the value of the collateral.
11	Accordingly, Debtor's Motion to Value Collateral is granted.
12	<u>Conclusion</u>
13	Based on the foregoing, the Court finds and concludes that the replacement value of
14	Maverick's collateral is \$3,800. Accordingly, Debtor's Motion to Value Collateral will be
15	granted. A separate order will be issued.
16	
17	Dated: March 30, 2006
18	
19	/s/ W. Richard Lee
20	W. Richard Lee United States Bankruptcy Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3
	ž